

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

MICHAELA F.,

Plaintiff, :

v.

**Case No. 2:22-cv-1699
Judge Sarah D. Morrison
Magistrate Judge Stephanie K.
Bowman**

**COMMISSIONER OF
SOCIAL SECURITY, :**

Defendant.

OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security (“Commissioner”) denying her application for Supplemental Security Income benefits. (ECF No. 5.) Plaintiff filed her Statement of Errors on July 1, 2022. (ECF No. 9.) The Commissioner filed a Response in Opposition. (ECF No. 11.) Plaintiff did not reply. On February 9, 2023, Magistrate Judge Bowman issued a Report and Recommendation, recommending that the Court overrule Plaintiff’s Statement of Errors and affirm the Commissioner’s denial of benefits. (ECF No. 12.) Plaintiff timely filed her Objection to the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation. (ECF No. 13.) The Commissioner responded. (ECF No. 15.)

If a party objects within the allotted time to a report and recommendation, the Court “shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28

U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Upon review, the Court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Court’s review “is limited to determining whether the Commissioner’s decision ‘is supported by substantial evidence and was made pursuant to proper legal standards.’” *Ealy v. Comm’r of Soc. Sec.*, 594 F.3d 504, 512 (6th Cir. 2010) (quoting *Rogers v. Comm’r of Soc. Sec.*, 486 F.3d 234, 241 (6th Cir. 2007)); *see also* 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) (“The findings of the Commissioner of Social Security as to any fact, if supported by substantial evidence, shall be conclusive . . .”).

The Court has carefully reviewed the record and concludes that the decision of the Commissioner is supported by substantial evidence and was made pursuant to proper legal standards. The issue raised in Plaintiff’s Objection was considered and correctly addressed by Magistrate Judge Bowman.

Accordingly, the Court **OVERRULES** Plaintiff’s Objection (ECF No. 13), **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 12), and **AFFIRMS** the Commissioner’s decision. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to **TERMINATE** this case from the docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Sarah D. Morrison
SARAH D. MORRISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE